arXiv:1608.00815v2 [physics.gen-ph] 6 Nov 2016

Covariant theory of gravitation in the framework of special relativity

R. S. Vieira

E-mail: rsvieira@df.ufscar.br
Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Campo Mourão, Paraná, Brazil and
Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil

H. B. Brentan

E-mail: brentan@df.ufscar.br
Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract. Purely from covariance requirements regarding the special theory of relativity, we show that a moving body necessarily generates a gravitational magnetic field. Then, from the Lorentz transformations, we deduce the exact formulæ describing these gravitomagnetic fields in a flat spacetime. A comparison between our theory and the linearized theory of general relativity, concerning the gravitomagnetic effects, is briefly discussed. Next, we show that in our theory the gravitational mass should be regarded as an invariant quantity in the same foot as the electric charge, which leads to the differential equations satisfied by the gravitomagnetic fields that proved to be similar to the Maxwell equations. This allowed us to show as well that gravitational waves indeed spread out with the speed of light. We also present arguments showing that the vector potential can be associated to the momentum of interaction between the matter and the fields and the energy and momentum stored in the gravitomagnetic fields are also discussed.

We highlight that nothing is assumed from the electromagnetic theory in our approach, nevertheless we found that both theories indeed have many properties in common, which is explained by the relativistic covariance character of both theories. Gravitation and electromagnetism are, however, different phenomena of nature and we finish the paper discussing some similarities and differences between them.

Keywords: gravitomagnetism, theory of relativity, gravitation, gravitational waves, Maxwell equations.

PACS numbers: 04.30.-w, 03.50.-z, 95.30.Sf.

1. Introduction

Attempts to measure a magnetic effect due to the gravitational fields can be dated back to the experiments of Faraday [1], performed about 1840. In these experiments, Faraday was wondering if gravity would produce some effect analogous to the electromagnetic induction that himself had recently discovered. If Faraday had been successful, the existence of gravitational magnetic fields would be established from the very beginning but, unfortunately, he did not find any positive result. After that, Maxwell [2] pointed out a possible analogy between electromagnetism and the gravity but he not take that matter further. Sometime later, Holzmüller [3] and Tisserand [4, 5], based on an analogy with Weber's electrodynamics, independently proposed that Sun could exercise a gravitational magnetic force on the surrounding planets – this was an attempt to explain the advance of Mercury's perihelion that also failed. It was only in the end of the nineteenth century that Heaviside presented a direct and complete analogy between gravity and the electromagnetic theory [6]. In the first years of the special theory of relativity, the possibility of a gravitational magnetism was briefly considered as well by Lorentz [7], Poincaré [8], Minkowski [9] and, finally, by Einstein in a not well-known paper [10].

The way of looking the magnetic effect of gravity had changed, however, after Einstein's formulation of general relativity – the modern theory of gravitation. In fact, the gravitational interaction in Einstein's theory is no longer regarded as a force, but it is seen as an effect of the curvature of spacetime. Thus, gravitation and electromagnetism become two very different theories, and an analogy between them cannot be easily made as before. Nevertheless, a comparison can be made in the case of a weak gravitational field – an method that was introduced by Einstein himself in his seminal work on general relativity [11]. In this work Einstein presented his theory of gravitation and also showed that Newton's theory can be recovered from a linearized form of his field equations. Further developments of the weak field method showed that gravity presents interesting gravitomagnetic effects, for example the frame-dragging effects of de Sitter [12] and Lense and Tirring [13, 14] and also effects analogous to the Thomas precession [15, 16].

Many experiments were performed since then with the aim of detect these gravitomagnetic effects. In the end of the fifties, Pugh [17] and then Schiff [18, 19] proposed a way to measure these frame-dragging effects with spatial gyroscopes. Forward [20] also proposed other devices through an analogy with electromagnetic machines. However, no results were found with these attempts either, which can be attributed to the very weakness of the gravitational magnetic effects. Nowadays however our technology have been greatly improved and we are living an exciting moment where those gravitomagnetic effects are beginning to be experimentally verified. For instance, scientists of the PROBE B have been conduced experiments that confirm gravitomagnetic effects such as the geodetic and frame-dragging effects, as predicted by general relativity. Although our current technology does not allow a good precision as it is desired, there are some optimism that this can be improved in the future. For discussions concerning these experiments, see [21, 22, 23, 24]. Finally, the recent report of the first direct measurement of gravitational waves, carried out recently by the

scientists of LIGO [25, 26] suggests that we shall see many other welcome surprises in a near future!

These experimental achievements were only possible with the great efforts of many theoretical physicists. The possibility of existence of some gravitational magnetism was theoretically proposed from various perspectives since the first work of Heaviside. Some authors, notably Jefimenko [27, 28], suggested again an analogy with electromagnetic based only in a direct comparison. Benci and Fortunato [29] presented a pure mathematical argument, based on a variational principle, that shows some connection between gravity and electromagnetism. In the scope of special relativity, the possibility of existence of a gravitational magnetic field also was considered by some authors, for instance, Salisbury [30] and Lorrain [31] and then Bedford [32] and Kolbenstvedt [33]; these works however discussed only particular cases and different results were obtained, so that no consensus were achieved regarding what should be the exact formulæ describing the gravitational magnetic field [34, 35]. Nevertheless, the gravitomagnetic effects has been studied extensively through general relativity. These methods are commonly based on the linearized Einstein's field equations or other higher order expansions as the post-Newtonian approximations. These approaches were developed by several authors, notably Jantzen, Bini, Mashhoon, Iorio, among others – see the reviews [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41] and references therein. Recently, exact methods have been also presented, for instance, through the analysis of the tidal forces [42, 43] or yet in the scope of gauge formulations of general relativity [44, 45, 46].

In this work we shall not pursue any of those lines. Alternatively, our goal is to show that the gravitomagnetic effects can be deduced and analyzed employing only the special theory of relativity, which leads us to the formulation of a *covariant theory of gravitation* regarding the Lorentz transformations. This theory leads to magnetic effects with same order of precision as that aforementioned methods based on approximations of the general relativity field equations. We must to highlight, however, once and for all, that we are not intending to replace general relativity – in fact, the special theory of relativity alone is not consistent with the experiments (see, for instance, the classical book of Misner, Thorne and Wheeler [47] for a comprehensive analysis of this matter). The objective of this work is to show that such gravitomagnetic effects can be deduced from special relativity when we impose Lorentz covariance to the Newton's universal law of gravitation. Our theory is, therefore, an approximation valid in the case where the effects of the spacetime curvature implicated by Einstein's theory of gravitation can be neglected, for instance at large distances of the gravitational sources or in the proximity of Earth. Nonetheless our theory can be regarded as exact in a flat spacetime, in the same sense as the theory of electromagnetism can be regarded as exact in this case as well. In fact, starting uniquely from the special theory of relativity (without using any result whatsoever of the electromagnetic theory), we found that this covariant theory of gravitation share many properties in common with the electromagnetic theory. These similarities are, although, not a matter of coincidence, but only a consequence of the covariance of both theory regarding the Lorentz transformations. Since this covariance is required for any physical theory, these properties must hold to any acceptable relativistic force field.

This article is organized as follows: In section 2 we show from a thought experiment

that gravity necessarily must have a magnetic counterpart. In section 3 we deduce the exact formulæ describing the gravitomagnetic fields purely from covariance requirements of special relativity theory. The question relying the invariance of the gravitational mass is analyzed in the section 5, where we show that the gravitational mass should be regarded as an invariant quantity, in the same foot as it is the electric charge. The transformation laws for the gravitomagnetic fields w.r.t. inertial reference frames are presented in section 6 and at section 7 we prove that these fields satisfy a set of differential equations which have the same form as the Maxwell equations. The gravitomagnetic potentials are presented in section 9, where we argue that the vector potential can be associated to the momentum of interaction between matter and fields. The momentum and energy stored in the gravitational fields are considered in the section 10 and a manifestly covariant formulation of the theory is presented at section 11. Finally, the similarities and differences between gravity and electricity are analyzed in the section 12. Conventions, notations and vector identities used in this work are presented in the appendices.

2. A thought experiment

We begin our approach with a thought experiment in order to show that matter in motion must generate, besides the gravitational field, also a magnetic field, which is in many ways analogous to the ordinary magnetic field of electromagnetic theory.

This thought experiment consists in the following: Suppose a system composed by two parallel massive straight lines, say A and B, and also a particle of mass m placed exactly at the midpoint of these lines. For a reference frame S', let those parallel lines be in the X' direction and suppose that both the particle as the line A are at rest w.r.t. S', while the line B is moving with a velocity $-v$ in the same direction of the straight lines.

If the densities λ'_A and λ'_B of the lines A and B, respectively, are set to be same in the reference frame S', that is, if we take $\lambda'_A = \lambda'_B = \lambda'$, then the total gravitational force acting on the particle will be zero. In fact, assuming the validity of Newton's law of gravitation in S', the force on the particle due the line A will be given by,

$$\boldsymbol{F}'_A = -\frac{2gm\lambda'}{r'}\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \tag{1}$$

while the force due to the line B will be

$$\boldsymbol{F}'_B = \frac{2gm\lambda'}{r'}\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \tag{2}$$

where g is the Newton gravitational constant an r' is the distance between the particle and the lines. This is illustrated at figure 1.

Now, we might ask what happens when this system is observed from another reference frame S, on which the particle and the line A have both the velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$, while the line B is now at rest. In the reference frame S, the mass densities λ_A and λ_B are no longer the same thanks to the Lorentz contraction effect. In fact, since the mass of the line A is moving with



Figure 1. The thought experiment in S': Two parallel massive straight lines exert a gravitational force in a particle of mass m, placed in the midpoint of them. The densities of the lines are the same so that the resulting force is null. The particle and the line A are at rest, while the line B is moving with the velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = -v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$.

velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$, the mass density of this line, as measured by S, is given by‡

$$\lambda_A = \lambda'\gamma, \tag{3}$$

while for the line B, we have, since this line is now at rest,

$$\lambda_B = \lambda'/\gamma. \tag{4}$$

Therefore, in the reference frame S, the force that the line A exerts on the particle is given by

$$\boldsymbol{F}_A = -\frac{2gm\lambda'}{r}\gamma\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \tag{5}$$

while the force due to the line B is

$$\boldsymbol{F}_B = \frac{2gm\lambda'}{r}\frac{1}{\gamma}\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}. \tag{6}$$

‡ An important question here is about the transformation law for the gravitational mass density: should the mass density $\lambda = m/V$ transform from S' to S as $\lambda = \gamma\lambda'$ or $\lambda = \gamma^2\lambda'$? If we regard m as an invariant quantity, then it is clear that the correct transformation law is $\lambda = \gamma\lambda'$. However, if we express the mass through Einstein's formula $E = mc^2$ then, since the energy transforms as $E = \gamma E'$, we would be led to the transformation law $\lambda = \gamma^2\lambda'$. Although may appear at first that we have a paradoxical situation, this is not the case: as will be show in more details on the section 5, the correct transformation law is $\lambda = \gamma\lambda'$, so that the mass must be regarded as an invariant quantity in the same manner as it is the electric charge. In fact, the problem with the second possibility is that mass density and energy density are actually different concepts – the first is part of a spacetime vector while the second is part of a second-rank spacetime tensor –, so the indiscriminate use of Einstein's formula cannot be justified.



Figure 2. The thought experiment in S: Here both the particle as the line A are moving with the velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$, while the line B is at rest. Thanks to the Lorentz contraction, the densities of the lines are no longer the same: $\lambda_A = \lambda'\gamma$ and $\lambda_B = \lambda'/\gamma$, so that the resulting gravitational force exerted by the lines on the particle is not null anymore. The principle of relativity, however, ensures that the total force acting on the principle must also be null in S, which show us that there must exist a gravitational magnetic force in order to restore that symmetry.

Thus, the total gravitational force $\boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{F}_A + \boldsymbol{F}_B$ acting on the particle is

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \frac{2gm\lambda'}{r}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \gamma\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = -\frac{2gm\lambda'}{r}\left(\gamma\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \tag{7}$$

which, contrary to what is expected, is not null, rather it it is directed towards the line A. This odd result however cannot be true, since the principle of relativity ensures that the particle cannot fall towards the line A in any inertial reference frame.

Hence, we are led to the conclusion that, in the reference frame S, there must exist some hidden force acting on the particle, which must depend on the observed velocity of the particle, in order to balance the gravitational forces. This force is noting but the gravitational analogue of a magnetic force. For the special case we considered here, this magnetic force must be given by

$$\boldsymbol{F}^M = \frac{2gm\lambda'}{r}\left(\gamma\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \tag{8}$$

In this way we had show from the principle of relativity that the any moving body necessarily creates a gravitational magnetic field. This is illustrated at figure 2.

Let us present another argument to show that the force (8) can really be thought as a magnetic force associated to the gravity. To this end, let us assume for the moment the existence *a priori* of a magnetic gravitational force $\boldsymbol{F}^M$ defined by

$$\boldsymbol{F}^M = m\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}, \tag{9}$$

where $\boldsymbol{B}$ is the gravitational magnetic field, which is supposed to satisfy a law analogous to Ampère's law of electromagnetic theory, namely,

$$\oint \boldsymbol{B} \cdot d\boldsymbol{s} = \mu \iint \boldsymbol{j} \cdot d\boldsymbol{A}, \tag{10}$$

where $\boldsymbol{j} = \lambda \boldsymbol{v}/A$ is the mass current density and μ is a constant yet to be determined. In the reference frame S, the magnetic field generated by the system is only due to the line A, since the line B is at rest. Remembering that line A moves with velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ in this reference frame, and that its mass density is equal to $\lambda = \lambda'\gamma$ so that $\boldsymbol{j} = \lambda'\gamma\boldsymbol{v}/A$, we have, from the Ampère's law above, that

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \frac{\mu\lambda'\gamma v}{2\pi r}\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}. \tag{11}$$

Hence, the magnetic force acting on the particle (which moves with the same velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$) is

$$\boldsymbol{F}^M = m\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{\mu\lambda' m\gamma v^2}{2\pi r}\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}. \tag{12}$$

Notice that this magnetic force is analogous to the electromagnetic counterpart, except that it is repulsive rather than attractive§. Moreover, we can see that, if we set

$$\mu = -\frac{4\pi g}{c^2} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}, \tag{13}$$

where κ is the Einstein gravitational constant, then both expressions (8) and (12) become the same, which show us that the force (8) can also be found without appeal to the relativity theory, in the same fashion as the magnetic force is calculated in the electromagnetic theory.

In this section we presented a glimpse of the gravitomagnetic phenomena through a thought experiment. In next section, we shall begin our mathematical study of the gravitomagnetic theory. The results will be deduced totally from the special theory of relativity, without doing any comparison or use of the electromagnetic theory neither of the general theory of relativity. We shall see that the magnetism of matter arises as a requirement of covariance of the theory w.r.t. the special theory of relativity.

3. The magnetism of gravity as a consequence of Lorentz transformations. The gravitomagnetic fields

Hereafter we shall consider only the special theory of relativity. This means that any effect due to the curvature of spacetime implicated by the Einstein theory of gravitation will be despised. In a sufficient distant region of the gravitational sources, the spacetime can be regarded as flat, and the special theory of relativity is recovered − it is only in this regime that we expect our theory to hold. In this regime, we assume that Newton's Law of gravitation is valid, at least to some reference frame S' where the distribution of mass generating the gravitational field is at rest. Starting from this, we can impose that the theory of gravitation must be covariant w.r.t.

§ In fact, if in our example we had considered electric charges instead of gravitational mass, the same result would be obtained, except that the magnetic force would be attractive and more intense. This electromagnetic case is explored, for instance, in Feynman's Lectures on Physics [48].

the special relativity, from which exact formulæ for the gravitomagnetic fields can be deduced. No use of electromagnetic theory or any other analogy is employed – our results are deduced purely from the transformation laws of the special theory of relativity. The consequences that emerge from this approach will be presented henceforward.

Thus, let us take a given distribution of matter which is at rest in the reference frame S'. This distribution of matter creates a static gravitational field $\boldsymbol{G}(x',y',z')$, so that a particle of mass m situated at a point (x',y',z') of space will feel a gravitational force $\boldsymbol{F}' = d\boldsymbol{p}'/dt'$ that is given by

$$\boldsymbol{F}'(x',y',z') = m\boldsymbol{G}'(x',y',z'). \tag{14}$$

We shall suppose further that this particle is moving with a velocity $\boldsymbol{u}'$ w.r.t. S', although the total force does not depend on the particle's velocity in S'.

Now we can ask what should be the (total) force $\boldsymbol{F}(x,y,z,t)$ acting on this particle w.r.t. the reference frame S. The answer for this question can be found purely from the special theory of relativity – it is not necessary to know the nature of the force. In fact, the force $\boldsymbol{F} = d\boldsymbol{p}/dt$ measured in S can be found directly through the respective transformation formulæ provided by the special relativity theory [49, 50, 51], which are,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_x(x,y,z,t) &= F'_x(x',y',z') + \frac{F'_y(x',y',z')\,u'_y v/c^2}{1+u'_x v/c^2} + \frac{F'_z(x',y',z')\,u'_z v/c^2}{1+u'_x v/c^2},\\
F_y(x,y,z,t) &= \frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{F'_y(x',y',z')}{1+u'_x v/c^2},\\
F_z(x,y,z,t) &= \frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{F'_z(x',y',z')}{1+u'_x v/c^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Thus, from (14) we can find what is the total force $\boldsymbol{F}$ acting on the particle, as measured by the reference frame S. Notice, however, that this force in general will depend on the time t, even if the force $\boldsymbol{F}'$ does not depend on t'. This is because the primed coordinates should still be eliminated through the Lorentz transformations,

$$t' = \gamma\left(t - xv/c^2\right), \qquad x' = \gamma(x-vt), \qquad y' = y, \qquad z' = z. \tag{16}$$

From (15) its not clear that the total force acting on the particle is composed by gravitational and magnetic forces. Nevertheless we can made this explicit if we write (15) actually in terms of the particle velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$, which is indeed the velocity measured by the reference frame S. From the transformation laws for the velocity components,

$$u_x = \frac{u'_x + v}{1+u'_x v/c^2}, \qquad u_y = \frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{u'_y}{1+u'_x v/c^2}, \qquad u_z = \frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{u'_z}{1+u'_x v/c^2}, \tag{17}$$

we can eliminate u'_x, u'_y and u'_z at (15) and then, after simplify, the transformation laws for the force becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_x(x,y,z,t) &= F'_x(x',y',z') + \frac{\gamma v}{c^2}\left[u_y F'_y(x',y',z') + u_z F'_z(x',y',z')\right],\\
F_y(x,y,z,t) &= \gamma\left(1-u_x v/c^2\right)F'_y(x',y',z'),\\
F_z(x,y,z,t) &= \gamma\left(1-u_x v/c^2\right)F'_z(x',y',z').
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

These formulæ are the key point of our approach. From this we can plainly see that the total force acting on the particle can be split into two parts: a part which does not depend on the particle velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$ and a part that does depend. In this way, we define the *gravitational force* acting on the particle as that part of the *gravitomagnetic* force which does not depend on the velocity of the particle and, on the other hand, we define the (gravitational) *magnetic force* as that part of the gravitomagnetic force which does depend on the particle velocity. In terms of components, we get, for the gravitational force,

$$\begin{aligned} F_x^G(x,y,z,t) &= F'_x(x',y',z'), \\ F_y^G(x,y,z,t) &= \gamma F'_y(x',y',z'), \\ F_z^G(x,y,z,t) &= \gamma F'_z(x',y',z'), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

while for the components of the magnetic force, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} F_x^M(x,y,z,t) &= +\gamma\left(v/c^2\right)\left[u_y F'_y(x',y',z') + u_z F'_z(x',y',z')\right], \\ F_y^M(x,y,z,t) &= -\gamma\left(v/c^2\right)u_x F'_y(x',y',z'), \\ F_z^M(x,y,z,t) &= -\gamma\left(v/c^2\right)u_x F'_z(x',y',z'). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

It should be kept in mind, although, that the Lorentz transformations should still be used in order to express those quantities in terms of x, y, z and t.

Now, it is straightforward to show that the total force $\boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{F}^G + \boldsymbol{F}^M$ can be expressed in the Lorentz form,

$$\boldsymbol{F}(x,y,z,t) = m\left[\boldsymbol{G}(x,y,z,t) + \boldsymbol{u}\times\boldsymbol{B}(x,y,z,t)\right], \tag{21}$$

by introducing the gravitational field

$$\boldsymbol{G}(x,y,z,t) = \boldsymbol{F}^G(x,y,z,t)/m, \tag{22}$$

and defining the magnetic field as

$$\boldsymbol{B}(x,y,z,t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{c^2}\times\boldsymbol{G}(x,y,z,t). \tag{23}$$

The formulæ deduced above are quite general – they hold to any distribution of mass which is at rest in a given reference frame S' and, consequently, moves with a velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ in another reference frame S. Besides, since the total force acting on the particle given by the Lorentz formula (21) makes no direct mention to what reference frame it refers (the force depends only on the gravitomagnetic fields and the instantaneous velocity of the particle), this means that the Lorentz force should be valid in any inertial reference frame. This result will be confirmed in the section 6.

Let us apply the arguments presented above in the simplest case of a point-like particle of mass M, which we suppose to be at rest on the origin of the reference frame S' in $t' = 0$. The gravitational field generated by this particle is given, in the reference frame S', by Newton's law of gravitation,

$$\boldsymbol{G}'(x',y',z') = -gM\frac{x'\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + y'\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + z'\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{\left(x'^2+y'^2+z'^2\right)^{3/2}}. \tag{24}$$

Equations (22) and (23) give respectively the gravitational and magnetic fields, namely,

$$\boldsymbol{G}(x,y,z,t) = -gM\gamma\left(\frac{(x-vt)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + y\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + z\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{R^3}\right), \tag{25}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{B}(x,y,z,t) = gM\frac{\gamma v}{c^2}\left(\frac{z\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - y\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{R^3}\right) = -\frac{gM}{R^3}\frac{\gamma}{c^2}(\boldsymbol{v}\times\boldsymbol{R}), \tag{26}$$

where $\boldsymbol{R} = \gamma(x-vt)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + y\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + z\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ is the vector that measures the distance $R = |\boldsymbol{R}|$ from the present position of the particle (*i.e.*, at $t = 0$) to the space point where the fields are evaluated; we had also made use of the Lorentz transformations (16) to eliminate the coordinates x', y' and z'. Notice further that the formula for the magnetic field is analogous to the relativistic Biot-Savart law of electromagnetism.

Finally, from the Lorentz formula (21) we find the gravitational and the magnetic forces acting on the particle,

$$\boldsymbol{F}^G(x,y,z,t) = -gMm\gamma\left[\frac{(x-vt)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + y\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + z\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{R^3}\right], \tag{27}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{F}^M(x,y,z,t) = -gMm\frac{\gamma v}{c^2}\left[\frac{\left(u_y y + u_z z\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} - u_x y\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - u_x z\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{R^3}\right], \tag{28}$$

so that the total force is given by

$$\boldsymbol{F}(x,y,z,t) = -\frac{gMm\gamma}{R^3}\left\{\left[(x-vt) + \frac{v}{c^2}\left(u_y y + u_z z\right)\right]\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + \left(1 - \frac{vu_x}{c^2}\right)(y\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + z\hat{\boldsymbol{z}})\right\} \tag{29}$$

We want to highlight here that our approach is based only in the dynamics of the special relativity theory. This means that the same results could be obtained if we had deal, for instance, with the electromagnetic force. In fact, the existence of a magnetic field must be present in any reference frame where the sources of the fields are moving – this effect is due only to the relativistic covariance, not to the nature of the fields themselves. Therefore, any force field which is *relativistic covariant*, in the sense that it is in agreement with the transformations laws of the special theory of relativity, must present magnetic effects when the sources are in movement and, further, the resulting formulæ would be the same as the above ones if the force field satisfies a law similar to Newton's law in the proper reference frame of the sources.

4. Comparison with the linearized theory of general relativity

In the previous section we deduced the formulæ describing the gravitomagnetic fields according to the special theory of relativity. The standard way on which these phenomena are studied, however, is through the general theory of relativity, usually considering the linearized or post-Newtonian approximations of Einstein's gravitation theory. Our purpose in this section is to briefly comment about the differences regarding the description of the gravitomagnetic phenomena implicated by these two approaches.

In the simplest case of the linearized Einstein theory, we consider that spacetime is almost flat, so that the metric $\xi^{\mu\nu}$ of the curved spacetime can be written as $\xi^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \zeta^{\mu\nu}$, where $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric of the flat spacetime and $\zeta^{\mu\nu}$ is a small perturbation. With these assumptions, the gravitomagnetic phenomena are deduced as follows: we first need to solve Einstein's field equations taking into account the approximation employed, *i.e.*, disregarding all quantities which are of second (or higher) order in $\zeta^{\mu\nu}$. Then the geodesic equation should be solved in order to obtain the acceleration of the particles and, hence, the gravitomagnetic forces acting on it [47, 52, 53, 54].

In this way, if we assume that the source of the gravitational field is null ($v = 0$) then we are led to Newton's theory of gravitation [11]. On the other hand, in order to obtain gravitomagnetic effects, we should allow the source to move. Considering that $v \ll c$, the Einstein field equations can be reduced to a set of equations which resembles the Maxwell equations. Solving the geodesic equations, however, we find that the gravitomagnetic force is given by a modified Lorentz formula [54]:

$$\boldsymbol{F} = m\,(\boldsymbol{G} + 4\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B})\,. \tag{30}$$

Hence we see that the linearized theory of general relativity predicts different results if compared with our theory. This is expected, of course, since we not take into account the effects of spacetime curvature in our approach. In fact, the apparition of this factor of 4 in the magnetic force can be compared to Einstein's calculation of the gravitational bending of light: remember that he got a factor of 2 when the curvature of spacetime was taken into account [11], if compared with his previous calculation based only on the special theory of relativity [55]. From a mathematical point of view, this factor follows from the fact that Einstein's field equations involve the metric and its derivatives, which are tensors of second-rank (usually, a quantum terminology is employed stating that gravity is a spin-2 theory). Notice moreover that some authors define the gravitomagnetic fields differently in order to preserve the Lorentz formula [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41]; in this case, however, the gravitational Maxwell equations become different if compared with the electromagnetic Maxwell equations.

It should be noticed however that there are some subtleties regarding the approximation commented above. First of all, when solving the geodesic equation we should actually go beyond the fist order approximation because, if we not go, then we get that bodies would not feel the effects of gravity at all: they would move in geodesics of the flat spacetime [54]. Hence, terms of different orders should be careful kept in order to compare the resulting equations with the electromagnetic theory. Besides that, the theory thus obtained is not gauge invariant (in the same way as the electromagnetic theory) neither covariant regarding the Lorentz transformations and, finally, we should remember that Einstein's field equations actually contain terms which depend on the pressure and, if these terms are not depreciated, we would also get effects with no electromagnetic analogue.

From what was said above we can see that our approach is quite different from that obtained through weak field approximations of general relativity. The gravitational magnetic field obtained here is due only to the motion of matter (without restriction to the velocity of the gravitational source), while in the general relativity framework it is mainly due to small

perturbations of the metric. Therefore the concepts involved in both approaches are different in nature and it is not to be expected that both results exactly agree. In fact, the results that will be discussed in the present work should be regarded as a first approximation to the theory of gravitomagnetic phenomena, valid with good precision at great distances of the gravitational sources so that the spacetime can be regarded as flat; the gravitomagnetic effects predicted by the linearized theory of general relativity should be regarded as the next more accurate approximation, namely, that one on which the spacetime is supposed to be just a little bit curved.

Hereafter, only the special theory of relativity will be considered. No use is made of any analogy with the electromagnetic theory as well.

5. Transformation laws for the mass and current densities and the principle of equivalence

An important issue that is very debated in discussions about the foundations of relativity theory, and that is very important in our theory as well, is the question of invariance of the gravitational mass w.r.t. a Lorentz transformation. It is unanimous accepted fact that electric charge is a such invariant, but no agreement at all is reached for the gravitational mass. Our intention in this section is clarify this matter.

The beginning of this controversy can be dated to the first formulations of the relativity theory and it also relies on the definition of mass that is employed. First of all, we have at least two different conceptions of mass: the *inertial mass* and the *gravitational mass*. In the old times of special relativity, several authors (Einstein including) have considered that the inertial mass would depend on the velocity through the equation $m(u) = m_0\gamma_u$, where m_0 is the so called rest mass. The development of relativity showed us, however, that it is more reasonable to regard the inertial mass as an invariant quantity, from which the theory of relativity can also be formulated‖. In the case of the gravitational mass this issue becomes most dramatic because it seems, at first sight, that if the gravitational mass were dependent on the velocity, then the strength of the gravitational field of moving bodies would be greater than that of bodies at rest. This, however, is not the case, since we had shown that the total force acting on the moving particle is provided purely by the transformations (18) and the gravitational force on the proper reference frame of the particle. Hence, no matter what definition of inertial mass we use: a different definition would just lead to a different definition of the gravitomagnetic fields – the forces cannot be changed by a mere redefinition of the mass.

Independently on what definition of mass is used, what is important to be noticed is that the source of the gravitational interaction must be regarded as an invariant quantity¶ (*i.e.*, as

‖ At a first sight, the dependence of the mass on the velocity might be seem as an experimental issue, however, the concept of mass is always attached to other concepts as, for instance, that of energy, momentum, force etc. Since an independent measurement of the inertial mass is difficult to conceive, this enable us to always define the energy, momentum, force etc. in such a way that we can regard the mass a quantity independent of the velocity.

¶ This should be true both in the special as in the general theory of relativity. As it is known, the gravitational interaction in Einstein's theory is determined by the spacetime momentum-flux tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. However, the *strength* of the gravitational interaction (*i.e.*, the strength of the spacetime curvature) must be determined only by the

the rest mass, if the older interpretation is adopted), so that the gravitational mass density must transform, from the reference frame S' to S as

$$\rho = \gamma\rho'. \tag{31}$$

As commented in the footnote of section 2, a naive argument would suggest that the gravitational mass density should transform as $\rho = \gamma^2\rho'$ instead, but we shall see in the sequel that this claim is wrong. In fact, the validity of (31) is ultimately a consequence of the equivalence principle.

Adopting the modern convention that the (inertial) mass is an invariant quantity, for instance, determined by the relation

$$m^2c^4 = E^2 - c^2p^2, \tag{32}$$

where E is the energy and $\boldsymbol{p}$ is the momentum, let us consider the following example. Suppose an ensemble of particles distributed in an uniform way and at rest in the reference frame S'. Let $\sigma' = N'/V'$ be the concentration of particles (*i.e.*, the number of particles per unit volume), as measured by S'. Now we ask what will be this concentration $\sigma = N/V$ w.r.t. the reference frame S, where the ensemble moves with the velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$. It is clear that this concentration is given by $\sigma = \gamma\sigma'$, since the number of particles per unit volume will be increased by a γ-factor, thanks to the Lorentz contraction. Now, if we consider that each particle of the ensemble has a (inertial) mass μ, so that the total mass contained in a giving volume is m, then follows from the fact that the inertial mass is an invariant of Lorentz that inertial mass density should transform according to (31). But since the inertial mass must equal the gravitational mass if the equivalence principle is true, we may conclude that the same transformation law (31) holds to the gravitational mass density. Besides, since the Lorentz formula should be valid in any inertial reference frame (see section 6), the invariance of the gravitational mass also follows, and further, as we shall see in the section 7, this is also necessary to the covariance of the gravitational Maxwell equations – in short, this is necessary to the covariance of theory as a whole.

At this point, we would like to remark that the concepts of mass and current densities are not the same as the concepts of energy and momentum densities – this is the source of many confusion in the literature, which leads to mistakes as that one commented above. In fact, since in relativity theory energy and momentum are regarded as the components of a spacetime vector, the same cannot be true for their densities. Indeed, from the transformation of the energy and momentum from S' to S,

$$E = \gamma E', \qquad \boldsymbol{p} = \frac{\gamma\boldsymbol{v}}{c^2}E', \tag{33}$$

it follows that the energy density ε and also the momentum density $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ transform from S' to S as

$$\varepsilon = \gamma^2\varepsilon', \qquad \boldsymbol{\pi} = \frac{\gamma^2\boldsymbol{v}}{c^2}\varepsilon', \tag{34}$$

proper spacetime momentum-flux tensor. The effects due to the motion of matter should be of the same nature as the magnetic effects introduced here, although more complex due to the non-linearity of the Einstein equations.

so we can see where the confusion arises. The energy density and the components of the momentum density do not form a spacetime vector. On the contrary, they are the components of a two rank tensor – the *spacetime momentum-flux tensor*. Further details will be given at section 11.

Notice moreover that we can define in S the *current density* associated to the motion of the ensemble as

$$\boldsymbol{j} = \boldsymbol{v}\rho. \tag{35}$$

The conservation law for the number of particles lead us then to the conservation of matter. This means that the mass and current densities satisfy the *continuity equation*,

$$\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j} = 0. \tag{36}$$

This equation, as a matter of fact, also results directly from covariance requirements: take a static distribution of matter in S', so that $d\rho'/dt' = 0$. Since $\rho' = \rho/\gamma$, we get that

$$\frac{d\rho'}{dt'} = \gamma\left(\partial_t \rho' + v\partial_x \rho'\right) = \partial_t \rho + v\partial_x \rho = 0, \tag{37}$$

where we had used the relation $d/dt' = \gamma(\partial/\partial t + v\partial/\partial x)$, deduced from the Lorentz transformations. Thus, since in the case considered here we have $\boldsymbol{j} = v\rho\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$, this means that (37) is equivalent to the continuity equation (36).

General formulæ for the transformations of the mass and current densities can be found by considering the reference frames S and S''. In the example above, suppose that the ensemble moves with the velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$ w.r.t. the reference frame S and with the velocity $\boldsymbol{u}''$ w.r.t. S'', and also consider that the particles composing the ensemble are still uniformly distributed. Therefore, the mass and current densities will be ρ and $\boldsymbol{j}$ when measured by S and ρ'' and $\boldsymbol{j}''$ w.r.t. S''. Then is easy to show from the Lorentz transformations for the velocity components (17) that the mass and current densities transform as

$$\rho'' = \gamma\left(\rho - v j_x\right), \qquad j_x'' = \gamma\left(j_x - v/c^2\rho\right), \qquad j_y'' = j_y, \qquad j_z'' = j_z, \tag{38}$$

that is, the mass density and the components of the current density form a spacetime vector – the *spacetime current density*.

These results can also be generalized to the case where the mass and current densities are not uniform neither constant in time. To this end we may consider that the number of particles of the ensemble goes to infinity, while the distance between them goes to zero (so that we get a continuous distribution of matter) and also that those particles contained in a given volume element have a specific velocity. Then, all we need to do is repeat the arguments above to each one of these volume elements. Notice, however, that each volume element will be led to a different time when the Lorentz transformation is performed. It might appear, therefore, that these transformation laws for the mass density $\rho(x, y, z, t)$ and the current density $\boldsymbol{j}(x, y, z, t)$ cannot be defined to extended objects. The reason is that, if these quantities are measured in S in the same instant of time, say t_0, then the mass density $\rho''(x'', y'', z'', t'')$ and the current density $\boldsymbol{j}''(x'', y'', z'', t'')$ will be led to volume elements *in different instants of time*, but when a given observer in S'' measures these densities, he always do it *in a same instant of time* t_0'', therefore it is not clear at first that he would get the same result as given by (38).

Fortunately, he indeed gets the same result, as was proven by Podolsky [56] for the analogous electromagnetic case.

6. Transformation laws for the fields

In the section 3 we have shown that any material moving body must present both a gravitational field as a magnetic one. Now in this section we shall deduce the transformation laws for these gravitomagnetic fields from an inertial reference frame to another.

To this end, consider that in the reference frame S there exist a moving distribution of matter which creates both a gravitational field $\boldsymbol{G}(x,y,z,t)$ as a magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}(x,y,z,t)$. If a particle of mass m moves in this gravitomagnetic field, say with an instantaneous velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$ measured at $t = 0$, then it will be subject to a gravitomagnetic force that is given by the Lorentz formula (21), as we showed in the section 3. In terms of its components, the total force acting on the particle will be, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F_x &= mG_x + m\left(u_yB_z - u_zB_y\right), \\ F_y &= mG_y + m\left(u_zB_x - u_xB_z\right), \\ F_z &= mG_z + m\left(u_xB_y - u_yB_x\right). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Now we may ask what will be the total force acting on this particle for another reference frame S'', where the (still undetermined) gravitomagnetic fields are $\boldsymbol{G}''(x'',y'',z'',t'')$ and $\boldsymbol{B}''(x'',y'',z'',t'')$, respectively, and where the particle moves with the instantaneous velocity $\boldsymbol{u}''$. The expressions for the force components in S'' can be found through the inverse of the force transformation laws (18), namely,

$$\begin{aligned} F''_x &= F_x - \gamma\left(v/c^2\right)\left[u''_yF_y + u''_zF_z\right], \\ F''_y &= \gamma\left(1 + u''_xv/c^2\right)F_y, \\ F''_z &= \gamma\left(1 + u''_xv/c^2\right)F_z, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where we omitted dependence of the forces on x, y, z, t etc. for short. In fact, replacing the components F_x, F_y and F_z in this equation by their respective expressions given at (39) and using (17) to eliminate the velocities u_x, u_y and u_z, we get, after simplify, the expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} F''_x &= mG_x + \gamma mu''_y\left(B_z - v/c^2G_y\right) + \gamma mu''_z\left(B_y + v/c^2G_z\right), \\ F''_y &= \gamma m\left(G_y - vB_z\right) + mu''_zB_x - \gamma mu''_x\left(B_z - v/c^2G_y\right), \\ F''_z &= \gamma m\left(G_z + vB_y\right) + \gamma mu''_x\left(B_y + v/c^2G_z\right) - mu''_yB_x. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Now, we may realize that this force can also be written as a gravitational force plus a magnetic force. In fact, the gravitational force is defined by the part of the total force that does not depend on particle's velocity $\boldsymbol{u}''$, and it is given by

$$F_x^{G''} = mG_x, \qquad F_y^{G''} = m\gamma\left(G_y - vB_z\right), \qquad F_z^{G''}, = m\gamma\left(G_z + vB_y\right), \tag{42}$$

while the magnetic force is that part that does depend on the particle velocity, and hence it is given by

$$F_x^{B''} = m\left[u''_y\gamma\left(B_z - v/c^2G_y\right) + u''_z\gamma\left(B_y + v/c^2G_z\right)\right],$$

$$F_y^{B\prime\prime} = m\left[u_z'' B_x - u_x'' \gamma\left(B_z - v/c^2 G_y\right)\right],$$
$$F_z^{B\prime\prime} = m\left[u_x'' \gamma\left(B_y + v/c^2 G_z\right) - u_y'' B_x\right]. \tag{43}$$

Therefore, we conclude that in the reference frame S'' the total force can also be written in the Lorentz form as

$$\boldsymbol{F}'' = m\left(\boldsymbol{G}'' + \boldsymbol{u}'' \times \boldsymbol{B}''\right), \tag{44}$$

provided the gravitomagnetic fields $\boldsymbol{G}''$ and $\boldsymbol{B}''$ as measured by S'' be related to the gravitomagnetic fields $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ as measured by S, by the formulæ,

$$G_x'' = G_x, \qquad G_y'' = \gamma\left(G_y - vB_z\right), \qquad G_z'' = \gamma\left(G_z + vB_y\right), \tag{45}$$

and

$$B_x'' = B_x, \qquad B_y'' = \gamma\left(B_y + \frac{v}{c^2} G_z\right), \qquad B_z'' = \gamma\left(B_z - \frac{v}{c^2} G_y\right). \tag{46}$$

In this way we have proved that the Lorentz force holds for any inertial reference frame, a result that was indeed anticipated at section 3. At the same time, we have found the transformation laws for the gravitomagnetic fields, expressed through (45) and (46).

7. The gravitomagnetic Maxwell equations

In this section we shall concern ourselves with the differential equations governing the gravitomagnetic fields.

As we had seen, a static distribution of mass (for instance, w.r.t. the reference frame S') generates only a static gravitational field G'. In our approximation where the spacetime is regarded flat, this gravitational field is given by the universal Newton's law of gravitation and is a very known fact that the gravitational field satisfies, in this approximation, the following properties:

(i) G' satisfies the Gauss law

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \cdot \boldsymbol{G}' = -4\pi g \rho'; \tag{47}$$

(ii) G'it is an irrotational field

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}' \times \boldsymbol{G}' = 0; \tag{48}$$

(iii) G' is independent of the time

$$\partial_t' \boldsymbol{G}' = 0. \tag{49}$$

From these properties and the Lorentz transformations we can find what must be the differential equations describing the gravitomagnetic fields in the reference frame S. Remember that in this reference frame S there exist both a gravitational field $\boldsymbol{G}$ as a magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$ and that the magnetic field is related to the gravitational field by formula (23), that is, $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{v}/c^2 \times \boldsymbol{G}$.

To find the differential equations that the gravitomagnetic fields satisfy, we need to express the derivatives ∂_x', ∂_y', ∂_z' and ∂_t' in terms of ∂_x, ∂_y, ∂_z and ∂_t. We easily get the relations necessary,

$$\partial_x' = \gamma\left(\partial_x + v/c^2 \partial_t\right), \qquad \partial_y' = \partial_y, \qquad \partial_z' = \partial_z, \qquad \partial_t' = \gamma\left(\partial_t + v\partial_x\right). \tag{50}$$

We shall need further the transformations formulæ for the gravitational field given at (45), which in this case reduces to the equations

$$G'_x = G_x, \qquad G'_y = G_y/\gamma, \qquad G'_z = G_z/\gamma, \tag{51}$$

since the magnetic field is null in S'. Now, inserting the relations (50) and (51) in (49), we get,

$$\partial_x G_x + v\partial_t G_x = 0, \qquad \partial_x G_y + v\partial_t G_y = 0, \qquad \partial_x G_z + v\partial_t G_z = 0, \tag{52}$$

which will be of importance in what follows.

Therefore, let us begin analyzing what should be divergence of the gravitational field in the reference frame S. Replacing the coordinate derivatives present in (47) by the relations (50) we get, at once,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial'_x G'_x + \partial'_y G'_y + \partial'_z G'_z &= -4\pi g\rho', \\
\gamma\left[\partial_x + \left(v/c^2\right)\partial_t\right] G_x + \partial_y\left(G_y/\gamma\right) + \partial_z\left(G_z/\gamma\right) &= -4\pi g\rho', \\
\gamma\left(1 - v^2/c^2\right)\partial_x G_x + \partial_y\left(G_y/\gamma\right) + \partial_z\left(G_z/\gamma\right) &= -4\pi g\rho', \\
\partial_x G_x + \partial_y G_y + \partial_z G_z &= -4\pi g\rho'\gamma, \\
\partial_x G_x + \partial_y G_y + \partial_z G_z &= -4\pi g\rho,
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where we had used the first of the relations (52) and the transformation for the gravitational mass density (31) as well. We found therefore that the gravitational field also satisfies the Gauss law in S, namely, we have,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{G} = -4\pi g\rho. \tag{54}$$

Now, let us verify what should be the curl of the gravitational field. Replacing the coordinate derivatives given at (50) in (48) and using (51) we get, for each component,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial'_y G'_z - \partial'_z G'_y &= \partial_y\left(G_z/\gamma\right) - \partial_y\left(G_y/\gamma\right) = 0, \\
\partial'_z G'_x - \partial'_x G'_z &= \partial_z G_x - \gamma\left[\partial_x + \left(v/c^2\right)\partial_t\right]\left(G_z/\gamma\right) = 0, \\
\partial'_x G'_y - \partial'_y G'_x &= \gamma\left[\partial_x + \left(v/c^2\right)\partial_t\right]\left(G_y/\gamma\right) - \partial_y G_x = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_y G_z - \partial_z G_y &= 0, \\
\partial_z G_x - \partial_x G_z &= +\left(v/c^2\right)\partial_t G_z, \\
\partial_x G_y - \partial_y G_x &= -\left(v/c^2\right)\partial_t G_y.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Now, remembering that in the magnetic field is given in S by (23), we get,

$$B_x = 0, \qquad B_y = -\frac{v}{c^2}G_z, \qquad B_z = \frac{v}{c^2}G_y, \tag{57}$$

and then we conclude that ,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{G} = -\partial_t\boldsymbol{B}. \tag{58}$$

Therefore, the gravitational field is not anymore irrotational in S, which express the content of the gravitational analogue of Faraday's law. Since $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{G} \neq 0$, it may seem that the gravitational

field is no longer conservative. This is only apparent, however, since we shall see in the sections 9 and 10 that the gravitational fields have, besides an energy, also a momentum associated, so that the *spacetime momentum* of the fields must be conserved in any inertial reference frame.

Together with (54) this equation completes the set of differential equations for the gravitational field $\boldsymbol{G}$ as measured by S. Still remains, however, to find the divergence and the curl of the magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$. The divergence of the magnetic field is quite simple to be found. Since the magnetic field components are given by (57), we get in this way,

$$\partial_x B_x + \partial_y B_y + \partial_z B_z = \partial_y\left(-\frac{v}{c^2}G_z\right) + \partial_z\left(\frac{v}{c^2}G_y\right) = -\frac{v}{c^2}\left(\partial_y G_z - \partial_z G_y\right) = 0, \quad (59)$$

where we made use of the first equation in (56). Therefore, we get the result that the divergence of the magnetic field is always zero[+],

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{B} = 0. \quad (60)$$

Finally, let us verify what should be the curl of the magnetic field. We have, at first, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_y B_z - \partial_z B_y &= \partial_y\left(\frac{v}{c^2}G_y\right) - \partial_z\left(-\frac{v}{c^2}G_z\right) = \frac{v}{c^2}\left(\partial_y G_y + \partial_z G_z\right),\\
\partial_z B_x - \partial_x B_z &= -\partial_x\left(\frac{v}{c^2}G_y\right) = -\frac{v}{c^2}\partial_x G_y,\\
\partial_x B_y - \partial_y B_x &= \partial_x\left(-\frac{v}{c^2}G_z\right) = -\frac{v}{c^2}\partial_x G_z. \quad (61)
\end{aligned}$$

However, from the Gauss law we know that $\partial_y G_y + \partial_z G_z = -4\pi g\rho - \partial_x G_x$, hence, replacing the derivatives in x by the derivatives in t through (52), we get the equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_y B_z - \partial_z B_y &= -\frac{4\pi g\rho v}{c^2} + \partial_t G_x,\\
\partial_z B_x - \partial_x B_z &= -\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t G_y,\\
\partial_x B_y - \partial_y B_x &= -\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t G_z, \quad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

which can be written as well in a vector form as,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}\boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t\boldsymbol{G}, \quad (63)$$

where $\boldsymbol{j} = \rho\boldsymbol{v} = \rho v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is the current density.

In conclusion, the differential equations satisfied by the gravitomagnetic fields in the reference frame S are,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{G} = -4\pi g\rho, \quad (64)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{B} = 0, \quad (65)$$

[+] Notice that this represents a strong evidence that classical magnetic monopoles should not exist, since the vanishing of the divergence of the magnetic field is obtained here purely from the special theory of relativity. Besides, we showed that the magnetic field arises when matter (electric charges) is moving in order to balance the forces according to the reference frame considered. Hence, does not even make sense to talk about magnetic monopoles here, since every field of this type would be interpreted as some kind of gravitational (electric) field anyway.

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{G} = -\partial_t \boldsymbol{B}, \tag{66}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}\boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t \boldsymbol{G}, \tag{67}$$

which have the same form of the Maxwell equations of electromagnetic theory, besides the signs, which of course is due to the fact that the gravitational interaction is always attractive.

In these equations, notice that the only things that matters are the fields $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ and the densities of mass and current, ρ and $\boldsymbol{j}$, all quantities being measured in S. However, since the velocity in which S moves w.r.t. S' is quite arbitrary, we may conclude that the Maxwell equations should maintain their form in any inertial frame of reference. This can be in fact proof in another way, by considering the reference frame S'', in the same fashion as was made in the section 6. This calculation will be concealed.

This approach shows us that the Maxwell equations also have nothing to do with the nature of the electromagnetic fields – on the contrary, we showed that they are just a consequence of the covariance enjoyed by the fields regarding the Lorentz transformations. Therefore any *covariant field*, (*e.g.*, the electric field, the gravitational field or any other else) must satisfy a similar set of equations. This point can also be evidenced in an interesting work of Heras [57], where is stated that the Maxwell equations can be deduced uniquely from the continuity equation*. Since we have not used the continuity equation in our deduction this would mean that the Maxwell equations and the continuity equation are, in some sense, mathematically equivalent.

8. Gravitational waves

The gravitational Maxwell equations presented at the section 7 consist in a coupled system of linear partial differential equations for the fields $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$. This set of equations nevertheless can be written in a decoupled form as well. To see this, we can follow the standard methods employed in the electromagnetic theory. Thus, let us begin by taking the curl of (66):

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{G}) &= \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (-\partial_t \boldsymbol{B}), \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{G} &= -\partial_t (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}), \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where we had used the vector identities (B.3) and (B.4). Using now (64) and (67), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\nabla} (-4\pi g\rho) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{G} &= -\partial_t \left(-\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}\boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t \boldsymbol{G} \right), \\ -4\pi g \boldsymbol{\nabla}\rho - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{G} &= \frac{4\pi g}{c^2}\partial_t \boldsymbol{j} - \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2 \boldsymbol{G}, \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

that is,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{G} - \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2 \boldsymbol{G} = -4\pi g \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\rho + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t \boldsymbol{j} \right), \tag{70}$$

which is a decoupled differential equation for the field $\boldsymbol{G}$.

* To be more specific, Heras stated that, if there are two localized functions $\phi(x, y, z, t)$ and $\boldsymbol{j}(x, y, z, t)$ that satisfy the continuity equation (36), then there exist two vector functions $\boldsymbol{F}(x, y, z, t)$ and $\boldsymbol{G}(x, y, z, t)$ that satisfy a set of equations similar to that of Maxwell. See [57] for details.

Likewise, let us take the curl of (67). Then we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{B}) &= \boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\left(-\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}\boldsymbol{j}+\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t\boldsymbol{G}\right),\\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{B})-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\boldsymbol{B} &= -\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{j})+\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{G}),\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where we had used the identities (B.3) and (B.4) again. Now, from the Maxwell equations (65) and (66), we get,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\boldsymbol{B}-\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2\boldsymbol{B}=\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{j}). \tag{72}$$

which is the decoupled differential equation for the field $\boldsymbol{B}$. Equations (70) and (72) are the gravitomagnetic *inhomogeneous wave equations*. In the absence of matter, that is, whenever $\rho=0$ and $\boldsymbol{j}=0$, (70) and (72) simplify to

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\boldsymbol{G}-\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2\boldsymbol{G}=0,\qquad \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\boldsymbol{B}-\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2\boldsymbol{B}=0, \tag{73}$$

and we get the gravitomagnetic *homogeneous wave equation*s.

We highlight that (73) shows us clearly that gravitational waves propagates with the velocity of the light in vacuum, as expected. Notice however that this result follows directly from the special theory of relativity and it cannot be found by theories which assumes a mere analogy between gravitation and electromagnetism, as made for instance by Heaviside [6], Jefimenko [27, 28] and others. In fact, by a direct analogy we do not know what should be gravitational magnetic permeability of vacuum and hence there is no way to evaluate the velocity of gravitational waves from it.

9. The gravitomagnetic potentials and their connection with the interaction energy and momentum

When a given distribution of matter is at rest, it is very known that the gravitational field created by it is conservative. In fact, this is ensured by the third Maxwell equation (66), which states that the gravitational field is irrotational whenever there is no magnetic field and so it is possible to write the gravitational field as the gradient of a *scalar potential* function ϕ', for instance, as

$$\boldsymbol{G}(x',y',z')=-\boldsymbol{\nabla}'\phi'(x',y',z'). \tag{74}$$

The physical meaning of the function ϕ' is easily found to be the *interaction energy* by unit mass between the particle and the gravitational field. In other words, a particle of mass m moving in a static gravitational field has an interaction energy given by

$$U'(x',y',z')=m\phi'(x',y',z'). \tag{75}$$

This picture changes, however, when that distribution of matter is moving. In this case the gravitational field cannot be conservative in the sense above, since the presence of the magnetic fields prevents the field of being irrotational, as (66) states. Nevertheless, we shall see in the following that in S there exist as well a *vector potential* function $\boldsymbol{A}$, which is

associated to the *interaction momentum* between the gravitomagnetic fields and the particle, that puts back the things together. The scalar potential ϕ and the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ form in fact a spacetime vector (the *spacetime potential*) which is proportional to the spacetime momentum associated to the interaction between the fields and the particle. This *spacetime interaction momentum* is a conserved quantity and hence, in this more embracing sense, the gravitational fields can be regarded as conservatives.

We can easily show the existence of the gravitomagnetic potentials ϕ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ directly through the homogeneous Maxwell equations (65) and (66) – that is, the Maxwell equations which do not depend on the sources ρ and $\boldsymbol{j}$. In fact, the divergenceless property of the magnetic field stated in the second Maxwell equation (65) means, through the identity (B.1), that there exists a C^2 class vector function $\boldsymbol{A}$ so that

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}, \tag{76}$$

Likewise, replacing (76) into the third Maxwell equation (66) we shall get, after using the previous formula (76) and the identity (B.3),

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{G} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{G} + \partial_t (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{G} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{A}) = 0. \tag{77}$$

Therefore we might realize, through the identity (B.2), that there exists a C^2 scalar function ϕ so that,

$$\boldsymbol{G} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{A} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi, \tag{78}$$

that is,

$$\boldsymbol{G} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi - \partial_t \boldsymbol{A}. \tag{79}$$

The existence of the gravitomagnetic potentials ϕ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ is provided by (76) and (79), which and also provide the relationship between the gravitomagnetic fields with the potentials.

The gravitomagnetic potentials, however, are not uniquely determined, since we can replace ϕ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ respectively by $\phi' = \phi + \partial_t f$ and $\boldsymbol{A}' = \boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} f$, where f is any C^2 scalar function, without any change in the gravitomagnetic fields. This feature is often called the *gauge freedom* of the potentials. Hence the covariant theory of gravitation here outlined has gauge symmetry as the electromagnetic theory – this is also a consequence of relativity.

Let us see now why the potentials ϕ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ should be associated with the interaction energy and momentum between a particle and the gravitomagnetic fields. To this end, consider first a particle that is moving in a static gravitational field, say w.r.t. a reference frame S'. The energy of the particle is composed by two terms: the kinetic energy $K' = \gamma_{u'} mc^2$ and the interaction energy $U' = m\phi'$ as given by (75). Moreover, the particle has a kinetic momentum given by $\boldsymbol{p}' = \gamma_{u'} m\boldsymbol{u}'$. Now, take the reference frame S where the distribution of matter which generated the fields has a velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$. Then we may ask what is the energy and the momentum of the particle that is moving in these gravitomagnetic fields. The answer is again provided by the special theory of relativity, since the total energy and momentum of the particle should be the components of a spacetime vector. This means that the total energy E and the total momentum $\boldsymbol{P}$ of the particle, as measured by S, should be related to the total energy E' and momentum $\boldsymbol{P}' = \boldsymbol{p}'$ of it, as measured in S', by the formulæ

$$E = \gamma E', \qquad P_x = \frac{\gamma v}{c^2} E', \qquad P_y = 0, \qquad P_z = 0. \tag{80}$$

However, since the same transformation formulæ holds for the kinetic energy K and momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$ of the particle, this means that the same also holds for the interaction energy U and the interaction momentum $\boldsymbol{V}$. Therefore, the simple fact that the particle has an interaction energy in S' given by (75) implies that it has both an interaction energy U and an interaction momentum $\boldsymbol{V}$ in S which are given by

$$U(x,y,z,t) = m\phi(x,y,z,t), \qquad \boldsymbol{V}(x,y,z,t) = m\boldsymbol{A}(x,y,z,t). \tag{81}$$

where the potentials $\phi(x,y,z,t)$ and $\boldsymbol{A}(x,y,z,t)$ are given by

$$\phi(x,y,z,t) = \gamma\phi'(x',y',z'), \tag{82}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{A}(x,y,z,t) = \frac{\gamma\boldsymbol{v}}{c^2}\phi'(x',y',z') = \frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{c^2}\phi(x,y,z,t), \tag{83}$$

(the Lorentz transformations still must be used in order to eliminate the primed coordinates, of course).

From this we conclude that the gravitomagnetic potentials are the components of a spacetime vector, as stated above, since they are proportional to the interaction energy and momentum. This means that they transform, from a reference frame S to another frame S'', as

$$\phi'' = \gamma(\phi - vA_x), \qquad A_x'' = \gamma\left(A_x - \phi v/c^2\right), \qquad A_y'' = A_y, \qquad A_z'' = A_z. \tag{84}$$

The results above can also be deduced from a variational perspective. To this end, let us take the relativistic Lagrangian for a particle moving in a static gravitational field,

$$\mathcal{L}' = -mc^2/\gamma_{u'} - m\phi'. \tag{85}$$

In the Lagrangian formulation, remember that the canonical energy and momentum of the particle are given, respectively, by

$$\boldsymbol{P}' = \dot{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}'\mathcal{L}' = \gamma_{u'}m\boldsymbol{u}', \qquad H' = \dot{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}'\mathcal{L}'\cdot\boldsymbol{u}' - \mathcal{L}' = \gamma_{u'}mc^2 + m\phi', \tag{86}$$

from where the relation between the interaction energy and the scalar potential becomes plain: $U' = m\phi'$. The action is, of course, given by

$$S = \int \mathcal{L}'dt' = \int \boldsymbol{P}'\cdot d\boldsymbol{r}' - \int H'dt'. \tag{87}$$

Now we pass to the reference frame S, where the gravitational field is moving, and ask what happens there. The key point here is to realize that the action is Lorentz invariant. This means that the canonical energy and the canonical momentum components must transform as a spacetime vector. Since the same must hold to the kinetic energy and momentum, we found again that the same should be true for the interaction energy and momentum. In fact, it is not difficult to show that the canonical momentum and energy of the particle in the reference frame S, are given respectively by,

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \gamma_u m\boldsymbol{u} + m\boldsymbol{A}, \qquad H = \gamma_u mc^2 + m\phi, \tag{88}$$

from where (81), (82) and (83) directly follows.

The relationship between the fields and the potential can also be recovered from this variational approach [52]. Now we need the equation of motion of the particle in the reference frame S, which is provided by the Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\dot{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}\mathcal{L} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}\mathcal{L}, \tag{89}$$

with the transformed Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = -mc^2/\gamma_u - m\phi + m\left(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\right). \tag{90}$$

Notice, however, that the force acing of the particle is given by the time derivative of its kinetic momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$, not by the time derivative of the canonical momentum $\boldsymbol{P}$. Thus, the Euler-Lagrange equations provide the force only indirectly. Nonetheless, its easy to verify from (88) that

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{p}}{dt} = \frac{d\boldsymbol{P}}{dt} - m\frac{d\boldsymbol{A}}{dt}. \tag{91}$$

Therefore, form the Lagrangian (90), we get that

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{P}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}\mathcal{L} = -m\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi + m\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\right), \tag{92}$$

and remembering that the derivatives are carried out for constant $\boldsymbol{u}$ we get, after using the vector identity (B.8),

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mathcal{L} = -m\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi + m\left(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\right)\boldsymbol{A} + m\boldsymbol{u}\times\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{A}\right). \tag{93}$$

On the other hand, the derivative $d\boldsymbol{A}/dt$ is,

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{A}}{dt} = \partial_t\boldsymbol{A} + \left(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\right)\boldsymbol{A}, \tag{94}$$

and, hence, inserting (93) and (94) on (91), we find that the force acting on the particle is,

$$\boldsymbol{F} = m\left[-\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi + \partial_t\boldsymbol{A}\right) + \boldsymbol{u}\times\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{A}\right)\right]. \tag{95}$$

Now, since, by definition, the gravitational force is the part of the gravitomagnetic force which does not depend on the particle velocity, while the magnetic force is that part which does depend, we conclude that the total force acting on the particle in the reference frame S can be written in the Lorentz form as

$$\boldsymbol{F} = m\left(\boldsymbol{G} + \boldsymbol{u}\times\boldsymbol{B}\right), \tag{96}$$

where the gravitational and magnetic fields, $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$, are related to the scalar and vector potentials, ϕ and $\boldsymbol{A}$, respectively by

$$\boldsymbol{G} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi - \partial_t\boldsymbol{A}, \qquad \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{A}, \tag{97}$$

which represents another deduction of (76) and (79), and also of the results obtained in the section 3.

Before closing this section, we must make some remarks about the association of the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ with the interaction momentum. In the case of the electromagnetic theory, this association is by no means new and the first connections remount back to the original works of Maxwell [2], although this connection was get missing in the course of time [58, 59].

Even today, this subject is controversial and many physicists believe that no physical meaning can be associated to the vector potential – their criticisms are commonly based on the fact that the vector potential has a gauge freedom, while it is hard to explain this feature in terms of the momentum.

This argument, however, is flawed. There is no doubt that the kinetic momentum of a particle does not allow a gauge freedom, since it is only a function of its velocity, however, the same could be said about the kinetic energy. For the interaction energy, on the other hand, we can set the ground state as we please and since the interaction energy is proportional to the scalar potential, we have a gauge freedom as well. Therefore, the same arguments can be applied to interaction momentum, and there is no reason to prevent that the vector potential be associated with the interaction momentum. Moreover, the theory of relativity sets momentum and energy on an equal foot and thus this association is mandatory. Finally, we should remember that in quantum mechanics this association is important to explain, for instance, the Aharonov-Bohm effect [60, 61].

10. The energy and the momentum stored in the gravitomagnetic fields

We had seen in the previous section that a particle moving in a non-static gravitational field has both an interaction energy as well as an interaction momentum – these quantities are directly related to the gravitomagnetic potentials ϕ and A through (81), (82) and (83). In this section, we shall show that the fields themselves store energy and momentum. The energy and momentum contained in the gravitomagnetic fields can be regarded as distributed throughout the space, thus, we can talk about the energy and momentum densities as well as the energy and momentum fluxes. In the regions of space where there are no mass or current, we shall see that the energy and the momentum of the fields are conserved, so that they satisfy a kind of continuity equation. On the other hand, if matter is present in the space, then we shall see that the fields must transmit energy and momentum to the matter, so that the continuity equation is replaced by an appropriated balance equation.

Let us begin our analyzes by deducing what should be the energy stored in the gravitomagnetic fields. This can be made in the following mathematical way: first we dot the third Maxwell equation (66) through $\boldsymbol{G}$ and then the fourth Maxwell equation (67) through $\boldsymbol{B}$:

$$\boldsymbol{B}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{G}) = -\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{B}, \qquad \boldsymbol{G}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{B}) = -\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{c^2}\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{G}. \tag{98}$$

Taking the difference of these two equations and using the vector identity (B.5), we obtain,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot(\boldsymbol{G}\times\boldsymbol{B}) = \frac{4\pi g}{c^2}\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{j} - \frac{1}{c^2}\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{G} - \boldsymbol{B}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{B}. \tag{99}$$

thus, dividing by $4\pi g/c^2$, using the identity (B.6), and rearranging, we get,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left[\frac{c^2}{4\pi g}(\boldsymbol{G}\times\boldsymbol{B})\right] + \partial_t\left[\frac{1}{8\pi g}\left(\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{G} + c^2\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}\right)\right] = \boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}. \tag{100}$$

This equation represents the time rate per unit volume on which the gravitomagnetic fields delivers energy to the matter. In fact, by introducing the quantities

$$\boldsymbol{S} = -\frac{c^2}{4\pi g}(\boldsymbol{G}\times\boldsymbol{B}), \tag{101}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E} = -\frac{1}{8\pi g}\left(\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{G} + c^2\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}\right), \tag{102}$$

we may realize that (100) can be rewritten as

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{S} + \partial_t\mathcal{E} = -\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}. \tag{103}$$

The quantity $-\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}$, however, is nothing but the power (per unit volume) exerted by the fields on the matter − the minus sign means that the fields lost energy in this process. Consequently, the quantity $\mathcal{E}$ represents the energy density of the gravitational field and the vector $\boldsymbol{S}$ consists in the flux of this energy (this vector is the gravitational analogous of the Poynting vector deduced in the electromagnetic theory). Equation (100), or its equivalent, equation (103), states that in the presence of matter the energy of the gravitational field, alone, is not conserved anymore. Only the total energy, that is, the energy of the fields plus the energy of the matter, is conserved.

Furthermore, we should highlight that the energy of the gravitational fields is negative − contrasting with the energy of the electromagnetic fields, which is always positive. This is ultimately a consequence of the gravity being always attractive (see section 12 for more details).

Now let us concern about momentum stored in the fields. Here we proceed by crossing (from the left) the third Maxwell equation (66) with $\boldsymbol{G}$ and then crossing (from the right) the fourth Maxwell equation (67) with $\boldsymbol{B}$ in order to get,

$$\boldsymbol{G}\times(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{G}) = -\boldsymbol{G}\times\partial_t\boldsymbol{B}, \quad (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{B})\times\boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{4\pi g}{c^2}(\boldsymbol{j}\times\boldsymbol{B}) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\partial_t\boldsymbol{G}\times\boldsymbol{B}). \tag{104}$$

Thus, multiplying the second equation above by $-c^2$ and summing with the first, we get, after using the vector identity (B.6),

$$\boldsymbol{G}\times(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{G}) + c^2[\boldsymbol{B}\times(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\boldsymbol{B})] = 4\pi g(\boldsymbol{j}\times\boldsymbol{B}) - \partial_t(\boldsymbol{G}\times\boldsymbol{B}). \tag{105}$$

Besides, from the vector identity (B.7) we can rewrite this as,

$$\partial_t(\boldsymbol{G}\times\boldsymbol{B}) + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left[\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{G} + c^2\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}\right] - \left[(\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{G} + c^2(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{B}\right] = 4\pi g(\boldsymbol{j}\times\boldsymbol{B}), \tag{106}$$

and, finally, from the tensor identity (B.9), we get

$$\partial_t(\boldsymbol{G}\times\boldsymbol{B}) + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left[\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{G} + c^2\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}\right] - \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot(\boldsymbol{G}\otimes\boldsymbol{G}) + c^2\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot(\boldsymbol{B}\otimes\boldsymbol{B})\right] = 4\pi g(\rho\boldsymbol{G} + \boldsymbol{j}\times\boldsymbol{B}), \tag{107}$$

where we had used the first and second Maxwell equations (64) and (65) as well.

Equation (107) can also be written in a compact form as

$$\partial_t\boldsymbol{S} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\mathsf{M} = -(\rho\boldsymbol{G} + \boldsymbol{j}\times\boldsymbol{B}), \tag{108}$$

by invoking the vector $\boldsymbol{S}$, defined by (101) and introducing the *gravitational Maxwell stress-tensor*,

$$\mathsf{M} = -\frac{1}{8\pi g}\left[\left(G^2 + c^2B^2\right)\mathsf{I} - 2\left(\boldsymbol{G}\otimes\boldsymbol{G} + c^2\boldsymbol{B}\otimes\boldsymbol{B}\right)\right], \tag{109}$$

where G and B denotes the moduli of the gravitational and magnetic fields, respectively, and I is the Kronecker tensor, whose elements are given by $I^{ab} = \delta^{ab}$.

Equation (107), or its equivalent, equation (108), represents the time rate per unit volume on which the fields delivers momentum to the matter. In fact, the quantity $-(\rho\boldsymbol{G} + \boldsymbol{j} \times \boldsymbol{B})$ is nothing but the opposite of the Lorentz force per unit volume, $\boldsymbol{F} = \rho\boldsymbol{G} + \boldsymbol{j} \times \boldsymbol{B}$. Therefore, in the presence of matter, the momentum of the fields is no longer conserved – only the total momentum, that is, the momentum of the fields plus the momentum of the matter, is conserved.

Notice further that the vector $\boldsymbol{S}$ plays a dual role in those formulæ: in formula (103) it represents the flux of the gravitational energy, while in the formula (108) it represents the momentum density of the fields. This symmetry is not a matter of coincidence: it can be showed only through covariance requirements that the flux of energy must always be the same as the momentum density.

11. A manifestly covariant approach

In this section we shall present another deduction of the previous results, but following now a spacetime perspective. This means that all the results and equations will be written in a tensor form, in a covariant fashion.

Let us begin by showing the existence of a magnetic gravitational force directly from this spacetime approach. The key point here is to replace the concept of ordinary force $\boldsymbol{F}$ by that one of spacetime force Γ^μ. Before doing so we should highlight, however, that in a purely spacetime description there is no clear separation between gravitational and magnetic forces. In fact, this separation is ultimately a matter of convention – it was introduced first in the electromagnetic theory mainly due to historical reasons, namely, due to the chronological order on which the electric and magnetic phenomena were discovered. By this reason, it will be necessary at the end of our calculations to return to the concept of ordinary force in order to make the separation of the gravitomagnetic forces into gravitational and magnetic ones.

In the spacetime framework of special relativity, the spacetime force Γ^μ is defined as the derivative of the spacetime momentum p^μ with respect to the proper time τ of the particle,

$$\Gamma^\mu = dp^\mu/d\tau, \tag{110}$$

as well as in terms of the particle spacetime acceleration [48, 50, 51, 52, 53],

$$\Gamma^\mu = ma^\mu. \tag{111}$$

Once fixed a reference frame S, the spacetime force components are related to the power and ordinary force as follows,

$$\Gamma^0 = \gamma_u W/c, \qquad \Gamma^1 = \gamma_u F_x, \qquad \Gamma^2 = \gamma_u F_y, \qquad \Gamma^3 = \gamma_u F_z, \tag{112}$$

Now, let us consider a static gravitational force taken place in the reference frame S', where there is only a static gravitational field. Then the components of the spacetime force are given, in this reference frame, by

$$\Gamma'^0 = \gamma_{u'} W'/c, \qquad \Gamma'^1 = \gamma_{u'} F'_x, \qquad \Gamma'^2 = \gamma_{u'} F'_y, \qquad \Gamma'^3 = \gamma_{u'} F'_z, \tag{113}$$

where W', F'_x, F'_y and F'_z do not depend on the particle velocity $\boldsymbol{u}'$, since the force is purely gravitational in S'.

The spacetime force, in contrast to the usual force, is a spacetime vector, so their components transform, from S' to S, according to,

$$I^0 = \gamma_v\left(I'^0 + \frac{v}{c}I'^1\right), \quad I^1 = \gamma_v\left(I'^1 + \frac{v}{c}I'^0\right), \quad I^2 = I'^2, \quad I^3 = I'^3. \quad (114)$$

From this we can verify that the power and the usual force components, as measured by S, are related to the power and the respective components of the force in the reference frame S' by,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \frac{\gamma_v\gamma_{u'}}{\gamma_u}\left(W' + vF'_x\right), \\ F_x &= \frac{\gamma_v\gamma_{u'}}{\gamma_u}\left(F'_x + \frac{vW'}{c^2}\right), \\ F_y &= \frac{\gamma_{u'}}{\gamma_u}F'_y, \\ F_z &= \frac{\gamma_{u'}}{\gamma_u}F'_z. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

However, it can be deduced from (17) that the γ-factors are related by the formula,

$$\frac{\gamma_{u'}}{\gamma_u} = \gamma_v\left(1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2}\right), \quad (116)$$

and hence we get, after simplify, the expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \gamma_v^2\left(1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2}\right)\left(W' + vF'_x\right), \\ F_x &= \gamma_v^2\left(1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2}\right)\left(F'_x + \frac{vW'}{c^2}\right) = F'_x + \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2}\left(F'_y u_y + F'_z u_z\right), \\ F_y &= \gamma_v\left(1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2}\right)F'_y, \\ F_z &= \gamma_v\left(1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2}\right)F'_z, \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

where we had used in the second equation the identity $W' = \boldsymbol{F}' \cdot \boldsymbol{u}'$ and also the transformation formulæ for the velocity components. Thus we had recovered the force transformations (18) presented at section 3, which enable us finally to separate the gravitomagnetic force into gravitational and magnetic force, leading us again to the formulæ (19) and (20).

All other results can be expressed in a manifestly covariant way as well. The mass and current densities, in this spacetime description, compose a *spacetime current density*

$$J^\mu = \rho_0 w^\mu, \quad (118)$$

ρ_0 is the proper mass density and $w^\mu = dx^\mu/d\tau$ is the spacetime velocity. The time component of this spacetime vector is proportional to the mass density: $J^0 = \rho c$, while its space components are related to the current density: $J^a = \rho u^a = j^a$. We can also verify that the continuity equation (36) is written just as a spacetime divergence,

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0. \quad (119)$$

In the section 9, we have seen that a particle moving in a gravitomagnetic field has both an interaction energy as an interaction momentum. These two quantities can also be unified into a spacetime vector, the *spacetime interaction momentum*.

$$V^\mu = mA^\mu. \tag{120}$$

This means that the scalar potential ϕ and the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ also form a spacetime vector, namely, the *spacetime potential* A^μ, whose components are

$$A^0 = \phi/c, \qquad A^1 = A_x, \qquad A^2 = A_y, \qquad A^3 = A_z. \tag{121}$$

From this we can plainly see that the vector potential should be associated to the interaction momentum as the scalar potential is associated to the interaction energy.

Moreover, from the spacetime potential A^μ follows that the gravitomagnetic fields can be found through derivatives,

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu. \tag{122}$$

The gravitomagnetic fields are given by the components of a two-rank anti-symmetric spacetime tensor, the *spacetime gravitomagnetic field* $G^{\mu\nu}$. In a matrix representation this tensor can be written as

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -G_x/c & -G_y/c & -G_z/c \\ G_x/c & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ G_y/c & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ G_z/c & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{123}$$

The *spacetime Lorentz force* can also be expressed in terms of the gravitomagnetic tensor as follows,

$$\mathit{\Gamma}^\mu = mw_\nu G^{\mu\nu}. \tag{124}$$

Notice that the time component of the spacetime Lorentz force is proportional to the power delivered by the gravitomagnetic fields to the matter, while their space components are proportional to the usual Lorentz force. Notice further that, since $\mathit{\Gamma}^\mu = ma^\mu$, the motion of a particle in a gravitomagnetic field does not depend on its mass − this property is the content of Einstein's equivalence principle and it is one of the most important feature of the gravitomagnetic interaction.

Continuing with the manifestly description, we might verify that the four gravitational Maxwell equations becomes given by just two tensor equations: the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations (64) and (67) can be written as

$$\partial_\mu G^{\mu\nu} = -4\pi j^\mu, \tag{125}$$

while the homogeneous Maxwell equations (65) and (66), becomes given by

$$\partial^\alpha G^{\gamma\beta} + \partial^\beta G^{\alpha\gamma} + \partial^\gamma G^{\beta\alpha} = 0. \tag{126}$$

The balance equations for the energy and momentum stored in the fields, expressed by (103) and (108), can also be written as in a simple tensor form as

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = -f^\nu. \tag{127}$$

where f^{μ} is the density of the spacetime Lorentz force of the matter and $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the *spacetime momentum-flux* of the field, so that (127) represents the transfer of the spacetime momentum from the fields to the matter. When expressed in a matrix form, the relation between the spacetime momentum-flux with the energy density $\mathcal{E}$ of the gravitomagnetic field, the momentum-flux vector $\boldsymbol{S}$ and the Maxwell tensor M becomes plain:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E} & cS^1 & cS^2 & cS^3 \\ cS^1 & M^{11} & M^{12} & M^{13} \\ cS^2 & M^{21} & M^{22} & M^{23} \\ cS^3 & M^{31} & M^{32} & M^{33} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{128}$$

The spacetime momentum-flux is a two-rank symmetric tensor and, in a manifestly covariant fashion, can be written as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{c^2}{4\pi g}\left(\eta_{\beta\alpha}G^{\mu\alpha}G^{\nu\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}G_{\alpha\beta}G^{\alpha\beta}\right). \tag{129}$$

Notice moreover that the spacetime force density f^{μ} can be written as the derivative of the momentum-flux tensor of the matter as

$$t^{\mu\nu} = \rho w^{\mu} w^{\nu}. \tag{130}$$

In fact, we have:

$$\partial_{\mu} t^{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}(\rho w^{\mu} w^{\nu}) = w^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}(\rho w^{\nu}) = \frac{d\pi^{\nu}}{d\tau} = f^{\nu}, \tag{131}$$

where π^{μ} are the components of the spacetime momentum density and we used the identity $d/d\tau = w^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}$. Substituting this into (127), we get,

$$\partial_{\mu}(T^{\mu\nu} + t^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \tag{132}$$

which plainly shows the conservation law for the total energy and momentum of the system − fields plus matter.

Finally, we would like to remark that this result makes clear that the spacetime momentum-flux tensor $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}$ that is present in the Einstein field equations,

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} = \kappa\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{133}$$

should not be regarded as the spacetime momentum-flux tensor of the gravitational fields themselves. On the contrary, $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}$ should be associated to the spacetime momentum-flux associated to the matter, or other else quantity whose nature is not gravitational, as for instance the momentum-flux tensor of the electromagnetic field. The reason is that in general relativity, the gravity is not even regarded as a force, but it is only an consequence of the curvature of the spacetime. Hence, there is no real transfer of momentum or energy from the gravitational fields to the matter and thus the momentum-flux tensor of the gravitomagnetic field can be simply regarded as null.

This represents another difference between our theory and Einstein's theory of gravitation. Here gravitational momentum-flux $T^{\mu\nu}$ is not null, but the spacetime is not curved. In general relativity the gravitational momentum-flux $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}$ is naturally null but the spacetime is curved. Hence, we may say that in order to matter curve the spacetime, some energy and momentum should be expended. The passage from our theory to Einstein's theory is such that all energy stored in the gravitational field is expended to bend the spacetime.

12. Differences and similarities between gravity and electricity

It is a very know fact that gravity and electricity share many properties in common. In fact, both forces satisfy an inverse square law and they also depend on the product of the charges (masses, in the gravitational case). In this work we showed further that many properties of the electromagnetic fields are shared with the gravitomagnetic fields. As commented before, this is not a matter of coincidence neither it is related to the very nature of the fields: these properties are rather consequences of the relativity principles which must be satisfied by any physical theory. Thus, the existence of magnetic fields, the conservation of charge (mass), the continuity equation, the Maxwell equations, the existence of electromagnetic (gravitomagnetic) waves that propagate in the empty space with light's velocity and so on, are all results that depend uniquely on the covariance of these fields regarding the Lorentz transformations.

Gravity and electricity have three main differences, although. The first and most obvious difference is that the electric force is astonishingly greater than gravitational one. The second difference, and indeed the most dramatic one, is the connection that exists between gravity and geometry, as Einstein's theory states – no similar relationship it seems to exist regarding the electromagnetic theory. The third difference and perhaps the most inexplicable one is that the gravitational interaction is always attractive, while the electric forces can be either attractive or repulsive. In other words while the electric charges can be either positive or negative, the gravitational charge, that is, the gravitational mass, is found to be only of one type. The existence of only one kind of gravitational mass that always attract themselves implies huge differences between gravity and electricity; here we shall cite some of them.

First of all, this is the reason why the gravitational force overcomes the electric force at large distances: while the electric fields are all neutralized by the existence of positive and negative charges, the gravitational force only enhances itself. Besides, this also prevent us to talk about gravitational polarization charges, since there is no way to form such things with only one kind of charge (hence, there is no distinction between the gravitational Maxwell equations in matter and in vacuum).

Other example is a binary system consisting of a black hole and a star, which can be thought, in some sense, as the gravitational analogous of an electric capacitor. The fact that the gravitational interaction is always attractive implies that those two systems behave differently however. In fact, while in a discharging capacitor the electrons of the plate move in order to decrease the potential, so that the system reaches at some point to the equilibrium state, in the gravitational case the black hole (capacitor) continuously sucks the material of the star (plate), so that its mass increases while the mass of the star is depreciated and, hence, no state of equilibrium can be reached – the star is doomed to be completely swallowed by the black hole.

Another difference arises from the irradiating fields. In fact, while an electromagnetic dipole can contribute to the irradiated fields, the same cannot happen with the gravitomagnetic

dipoles. The reason is that, on one hand the gravitational dipole moment

$$\boldsymbol{d} = \iiint \rho(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{r}dV, \tag{134}$$

always vanishes in the of center of mass frame and, on the other hand the gravitational magnetic dipole,

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \iiint \rho(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{r}dV, \tag{135}$$

is just the angular momentum of the system w.r.t. the center of mass frame and hence it is also conserved. The conclusion is that gravitational dipoles cannot irradiate: the first contribution should come from the quadrupoles terms onward. Notice that the same property is valid in Einstein's theory of gravitation [50, 51, 54, 52, 62, 63].

Finally, we want to highlight that although the gravitational interaction between two particles is always attractive, there is still an open question regarding the gravitational interaction of a particle with an antiparticle. There are some theoretical evidence that the gravitational force between a particle and an antiparticle should be repulsive, see for instance [64, 65]. Although the concept of antiparticle is usually introduced in the scope of quantum mechanics, the existence of antiparticles can be evidenced from a pure classical point of view as well, for instance, through the so called *Extended Theory of Relativity* [66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71] – an extension of the special relativity theory that describes antiparticles, tachyons♯. Let us present briefly the lines of reasoning that supports the possibility of a gravitational repulsion between matter and antimatter. Remember that in the Stückelberg-Feynman interpretation [76, 77], antiparticles are thought as particles that travel back in time. In fact, in a spacetime description the energy of retrograde particle should be negative, since in this case we have the relation,

$$E = -\sqrt{m^2c^4 + p^2c^2}. \tag{136}$$

A particle with negative energy that travels back in time should be, although, actually observed as an ordinary particle with positive energy, since every observer measures the time from the past to the future – this is the content of the *Stückelberg-Feynman principle*. In this process of measurement, however, some properties of the particle must be reversed, in particular its electric charge. This can be shown through the CPT theorem or in terms of the extended Lorentz transformations, from which the CPT theorem can be classically proved [68, 70]. Now, the same argument that implies the reversal of the electric charge also must apply to the gravitational mass, since we showed here that both concepts are quite analogous. Hence this would mean that the gravitational force between a particle and an antiparticle must be repulsive. This result, if true, could be of importance in cosmology, since it could explain

♯ Proposals to extend the special theory of relativity to describe tachyons and antiparticles were presented by several authors since the sixties, specially by Bilaniuk, Deshpande and Sudarshan [66], Antippa and Everett [67], Recami [68], Sutherland and Shepanski [69] among others. In recent years, great interest in this field was renewed after the works of Vieira [70] and Hill and Cox [71], which independently proposed the same extended Lorentz transformations. It seems now that there are some consensus about the correctness of these extended Lorentz transformations, at least in two dimensions [72, 73, 74, 75]. A consistent extension of the theory of relativity in higher dimensions is, however, still an open problem.

the observed asymmetry regarding matter and antimatter in the universe and also give some insight on the problem of dark matter. We believe that this issue merits further analysis.

13. Conclusions

In this paper we formulated a covariant theory of gravitation in the framework of special relativity. We showed that any moving body must produce a gravitational magnetic field and from the Lorentz transformations we deduced the exact formulæ describing these gravitomagnetic fields, so that in great distances of the gravitational sources theses gravitomagnetic effects can be analyzed without employing general relativity.

Through our approach, although we have used nothing from the electromagnetic theory, we get the conclusion that gravitomagnetism theory has many properties in common with the electromagnetic theory, for instance, both forces can be written in the Lorentz form, the gravitomagnetic fields satisfy a set of equations that have the same form as the (electromagnetic) Maxwell equations and so on. These common properties are, of course, just a reflection of the covariance shared by those theories regarding the Lorentz transformations. Finally, we are confident that a quantum version of this theory can be formulated as well.

Acknowledgments

We thank Professor A. Lima-Santos for his advices given during the development of these ideas and also Professor P. F. Farinas for the reading of the manuscript and comments.

The work of Vieira was supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), grant #2012/02144-7 and #2011/18729-1. The work of Brentan was supported by the National Counsel of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPQ), grant #140911/2014-6 and #870194/1997-6.

Appendix A. Conventions and notations

In this paper, three dimensional vectors are written in bold-italics as in $\boldsymbol{A}$ or in the tensor notation as in A^a, in which case Latin indices run from 1 to 3. Tensor quantities defined on Minkowski spacetime are written in the usual tensor notation as A^μ, where Greek indices run from 0 to 3. We named four dimensional quantities only by their spatial component name, preceded by the word "spacetime" (for instance, we may refer to the *spacetime position* x^μ, the *spacetime momentum* p^μ, the spacetime *momentum-flux* $T^{\mu\nu}$ and so on).

We consider the metric $\eta = \mathrm{diag}\,(1, -1, -1, -1)$ and the Lorentz factor

$$\gamma(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}/c^2}} \tag{A.1}$$

is usually denoted as γ_u, although $\gamma(v)$ is written as γ_v or just as γ whenever is clear from the context. Finally, the *dotted nabla symbol*,

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}}, \tag{A.2}$$

denotes the vector differential operator which takes derivatives w.r.t. the velocity components.

Here we consider only three frames of reference: the frame S' is a reference frame where a given distribution of mass (namely, that one which generates the gravitational field), is always at rest. On the other hand, the reference frame S is regarded as the reference frame where that distribution of mass moves with a constant velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$. In other words, S' moves w.r.t. S with the velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$. To avoid misunderstanding, in some situations we consider a third the reference frame S'', which is in all aspects the same as the reference frame S', except that no fixed distribution of mass is attached to it. In fact, we assume that the velocity of that distribution of mass is u w.r.t. S and u'' w.r.t. S''. As usual we shall assume further that all axes of these reference frames are equally oriented and superposed at $t = t' = t'' = 0$.

Appendix B. Vector identities

The following vector identities are used in the paper:

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}) = 0, \tag{B.1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} f) = 0, \tag{B.2}$$

$$\partial_t (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\partial_t \boldsymbol{a}), \tag{B.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{a}, \tag{B.4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b}) = \boldsymbol{b} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}) - \boldsymbol{a} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{b}), \tag{B.5}$$

$$\partial_t (\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b}) = (\partial_t \boldsymbol{a}) \times \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{a} \times (\partial_t \boldsymbol{b}), \tag{B.6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}) = \tfrac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) - (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{a}, \tag{B.7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}) = (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{b} + (\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{a} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{b}) + \boldsymbol{b} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}), \tag{B.8}$$

where f is at least a C^2 class scalar function and $\boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ are at least C^2 vector functions. We also made use of the following tensor identity:

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{a} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}) = (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) \boldsymbol{a} + (\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{a}. \tag{B.9}$$

References

[1] Faraday M 1843 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* **5**(0) 994–995
[2] Maxwell J C 1865 *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London* **155** 459–512
[3] Holzmüller G 1870 *Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik* **15**(69)
[4] Tisserand F F 1872 *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences* **75** 760
[5] Tisserand F F 1890 *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences* **100** 313
[6] Heaviside O 1893 *The Electrician* **31** 281–282
[7] Lorentz H A 1900 *Proc. Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences* **2** 559–574
[8] Poincaré M H 1906 *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo* **21**(2) 129–176
[9] Minkowski H 1910 *Mathematische Annalen* **68**(4) 472–525
[10] Einstein A 1912 *Vierteljahrsschrift für gerichtliche Medizin und öffentliches Sanitätswesen* **44** 37–40
[11] Einstein A 1916 *Annalen der Physik* **354** 769–822
[12] de Sitter W 1916 *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **76** 699–728
[13] Thirring H 1918 *Physikalische Zeitschrift* **19**

[14] Lense J and Thirring H 1918 *Gen. Rel. Grav* **16** 711–50
[15] Thomas L H 1927 *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* **3** 1–22
[16] Papapetrou A 1951 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A* **209** 248–258
[17] Pugh G E 1959 *Weapons Systems Evaluation Group Research Memorandum*
[18] Schiff L I 1960 *Physical Review Letters* **4** 215
[19] Schiff L I 1960 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **46** 871–882
[20] Forward R L 1963 *relativity* **3** 5
[21] Everitt C F, DeBra D, Parkinson B, Turneaure J, Conklin J, Heifetz M, Keiser G, Silbergleit A, Holmes T, Kolodziejczak J *et al.* 2011 *Physical Review Letters* **106** 221101
[22] Everitt C W F et Al Muhlfelder B, DeBra D, Parkinson B, Turneaure J, Silbergleit A, Acworth E, Adams M, Adler R, Bencze W *et al.* 2015 *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **32** 224001
[23] Ruggiero M L and Tartaglia A 2002 *Nuovo Cimento B* **117**(7) 743–767 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0207065)
[24] Iorio L, Lichtenegger H I, Ruggiero M L and Corda C 2011 *Astrophysics and Space Science* **331** 351–395 (*Preprint* arXiv:1009.3225)
[25] Abbott B, Abbott R, Abbott T, Abernathy M, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, Adams T, Addesso P, Adhikari R *et al.* 2016 *Physical review letters* **116** 061102 (*Preprint* arXiv:1602.03837)
[26] Abbott B, Abbott R, Abbott T, Abernathy M, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, Adams T, Addesso P, Adhikari R *et al.* 2016 *Physical Review Letters* **116** 241103 (*Preprint* arXiv:1606.04855)
[27] Jefimenko O 2006 *Causality, Electromagnetic Induction, and Gravitation: A Different Approach to the Theory of Electromagnetic and Gravitational Fields* 2nd ed (Electret Scientific Company)
[28] Jefimenko O 2006 *Gravitation and Cogravitation: Developing Newton's Theory of Gravitation to its Physical and Mathematical Conclusion* (Electret Scientific Company)
[29] Benci V and Fortunato D 1998 *Foundations of physics* **28** 333–352
[30] Salisbury W W and Menzel D H 1974 **252**
[31] Lorrain P, Menzel D and Salisbury W 1975 **257**
[32] Bedford D and Krumm P 1985 *American Journal of Physics* **53** 889–890
[33] Kolbenstvedt H 1988 *American Journal of Physics* **56** 523–524
[34] Khan A and OConnell R 1976 *Nature* **261** 480
[35] Fuchs B 1981 *Physics Letters A* **82** 285–287
[36] Jantzen R T, Carini P and Bini D 1992 *Annals of Physics* **215** 1–50 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0106043)
[37] Mashhoon B 2000 *arXiv preprint gr-qc/0011014* (*Preprint* arXiv:gr-qc/0011014)
[38] Pascual-Sánchez J F e A 2001 *Reference Frames and Gravitomagnetism* (World Scientific)
[39] Mashhoon B 2003 *Arxiv: General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology* (*Preprint* arXiv:gr-qc/0311030)
[40] Tartaglia A and Ruggiero M L 2003 *European journal of physics* **25** 203 (*Preprint* arXiv:gr-qc/0311024)
[41] Bini D, Cherubini C, Chicone C and Mashhoon B 2008 *Classical and quantum gravity* **25** 225014 (*Preprint* arXiv:0803.0390)
[42] Costa L F O and Herdeiro C A 2008 *Physical Review D* **78** 024021 (*Preprint* arXiv:gr-qc/0612140)
[43] Costa L F O and Natário J 2014 *General Relativity and Gravitation* **46** 1–57 (*Preprint* arXiv:1207.0465)
[44] Clark S J and Tucker R W 2000 *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **17** 4125 (*Preprint* arXiv:gr-qc/0003115)
[45] Ramos J, de Montigny M and Khanna F C 2010 *General Relativity and Gravitation* **42** 2403–2420
[46] Lasenby A, Doran C and Gull S 1998 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **356** 487–582
[47] Misner C W, Thorne K S and Wheeler J A 1973 *Gravitation* (Macmillan)
[48] Feynman R P, Leighton R B and Sands M 2013 *The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Online Edition* (Basic books) URL http://www.feynmanlectures.caltech.edu/
[49] Resnick R 1971
[50] Griffiths D J 2013 *Introduction to Electrodynamics* 4th ed (Pearson)
[51] Purcell E M 1984 *Electricity and Magnetism* (*Berkeley Physics Course* vol 2) (McGraw-Hill Science)

[52] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1980 *The Classical Theory of Fields* 4th ed (*Course of Theoretical Physics Series* vol 2) (Butterworth-Heinemann)
[53] Pauli W 1981 *Theory of relativity* (Courier Corporation)
[54] Wald R M 1984 *General Relativity* (University Of Chicago Press)
[55] Einstein A *et al.* 1911 *Annalen der Physik* **35** 906
[56] Podolsky B 1947 *Physical Review* **72** 624
[57] Heras J A 2007 *American Journal of Physics* **75** 652–657 (*Preprint* arXiv:0812.4785)
[58] Semon M D and Taylor J R 1996 *American Journal of Physics* **64** 1361–1369
[59] Griffiths D J 2012 *American Journal of Physics* **80** 7–18
[60] Aharonov Y and Bohm D 1959 *Physical Review* **115** 485
[61] Griffiths D J 2004 *Introduction to quantum mechanics* 2nd ed (Addison Wesley)
[62] Thorne K S 1980 *Reviews of Modern Physics* **52** 299
[63] Thorne K S 1987 *Three hundred years of gravitation* **1** 330–458
[64] Costella J P, McKellar B H and Rawlinson A A 1997 *American Journal of Physics* **65** 835–841
[65] Villata M 2011 *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **94** 20001 (*Preprint* arXiv:1103.4937)
[66] Bilaniuk O M P, Deshpande V K and Sudarshan E C G 1962 *American Journal of Physics* **30** 718–723
[67] Antippa A F and Everett A E 1973 *Physical Review D* **8** 2352
[68] Recami E 1986 *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento (1978-1999)* **9** 1–178
[69] Sutherland R I and Shepanski J R 1986 *Physical Review D* **33** 2896
[70] Vieira R S 2012 *Revista Brasileira de Ensino de Física* **34** 3306 (*Preprint* arXiv:1112.4187)
[71] Hill J M and Cox B J 2012 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*
[72] Grushka Y I 2013 *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology* **19** 127–145
[73] Peacock K 2014 *Lato Sensu: revue de la Société de philosophie des sciences* **1** 48–62
[74] Hill J M and Cox B J 2014 *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik* **65** 1251–1260
[75] Jin C and Lazar M 2015 *ZAMM-Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics/Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik* **95** 690–694 (*Preprint* arXiv:1403.5988)
[76] Stückelberg E C 1941 *Helvetica Phys. Acta* **14** 588–594
[77] Feynman R P 1949 *Physical Review* **76** 749